IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,

NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Writ Petition No. 949 of 2005

-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

Office Notes, Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions : Court's or Judge's orders and Registrar's orders.

-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

***CORAM*** : D.D.Sinha and
A.B.Chaudhari, JJ.

**DATE** : March 5, 2007.

Heard Mr. J.L.Bhoot, Counsel for the Petitioner and Mr.N.W.Sambre, Counsel for the respondent no.3.

In the present Writ Petition, the petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents to release provident fund and gratuity with interest. Counsel for the respondents brought to our notice order dt. 11.11.2003 whereby the earlier Writ Petition filed by the petitioner No.3060 of 2002 for the same purpose was permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to make representation in respect of grievance made in the present petition. The learned counsel for the respondents further states that, pursuant to the said order, the petitioner made a representation to the respondent no.3. However, the petitioner was called upon by the respondents vide letter dt. 10.8.2005 to submit documents such as Pass book etc. for the

purpose of considering his representation. It is submitted that, till this date, the petitioner did not approach the Authorities and therefore, the respondents could not finally take decision on the representation of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that, though the petitioner visited the respondents, they did not respond.

Be that as it may, in the backdrop of the above referred facts, we direct the petitioner and the respondent no.3 to remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

Place the matter on 21.3.2007.

JUDGE JUDGE

ssj